Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wropg? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISI COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
1. FINANCIAL CHALLENGES The Council fails to respond adequately to the cuts in public sector funding over the coming 2 - 3 years.	<ul> <li>Reputational damage to the Council.</li> <li>Potential to destabilise the Council and difficult industrial relations.</li> <li>Mismatch between service</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Budget approved to 2015/16, and balanced on paper to 16/17.</li> <li>Work commenced on spending review programme which takes into account the Government's spending intentions as at July 2015.</li> <li>The first spending review has now concluded. Corporate Management Team and Executive monitoring closely implementation of the existing agreed savings. Capital Advisory Board to review profile and management of capital programme to minimise slippage and overspending.</li> <li>Further savings will be required- the full extent will not become clear until the Governement publishes spending plans in October 2015. The council is extending the remit of the spending review programme.</li> </ul>	5	4	20	<ul> <li>Continued development of savings proposals for future years beyond the three year strategy, reflecting the Council's strategic service priorities and on-going modelling of the Council's potential future income and cost streams, recognising the significant reviews of Local Government funding and service delivery responsibilities at national level.</li> <li>Continuation of the spending review initiatives and delivery of the programme.</li> <li>Consideration and forward planning for the long term savings strategy for 2018/19. Appropriate change management project management arrangements to be put in place for major review areas</li> </ul>	5	2	10		Andy Keeling Alison Greenhill	31/03/2019 /2020 and On-going

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could co wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISK COR NITH ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG COF WITH	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
2. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT The Council fails to further develop and improve the way it works with its stakeholders (partners, neighbouring Councils, NHS etc.). Key partners and stakeholders fail to support the council in delivery of its strategy as a result of tensions and strained relationships due to financial and other pressures. Council fails to identify tensions arising in the city (particularly as the financial challenges impact on communities) leading to unrest in specific communities/areas of the city.	to deliver agreed levels of performance, the impacts of which may reflect negatively on the Council adversely affecting its reputation. - Potential litigation where it impacts on formal contractual relationships. - Financial risk if Integration Transformation Fund plans are inadequate or not agreed. - Partnership working will be an expensive bureaucracy and fail	<ul> <li>Mechanisms in place for regular dialogue including formal partnerships e.g. Health and Wellbeing Board.</li> <li>City Mayor Faith and Community Forum in place to engage specifically with faith and non-faith communities.</li> <li>Arrangements for engagement of, and support to, the Voluntary Community Sector (VCS) have been commissioned and contracts are in place.</li> <li>Cllr Sood has partnership working within her portfolio.</li> <li>Close involvement of City Mayor and Members in key partnerships.</li> <li>Democratic Services working with the LLEP to strengthen their governance and accountability framework</li> </ul>	4	3	12	<ul> <li>Regular review and evaluation of the current position by Strategic Management Board.</li> <li>Continue to develop and embed the approach to working strategically with the VCS.</li> <li>Develop stakeholder communications/engagement plan of all critical and large partners to ensure that these relationships are given full consideration and priority, where needed.</li> <li>Key aspects of partnership working being reviewed in the light of OfSTED findings eg LSCB</li> </ul>	4	2	8		Miranda Cannon / All Strategic Directors	30/06/16 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could co wrops? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISK COR WITH ISTI	RE 1	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG COF WITI	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
2. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT (Continued) If stakeholder engagement is not robust and effective but is critical to the delivery of the Council's priorities, statutory duties etc., these may not be delivered. An example of such is the need to have a continuing, productive partnership relationship with Clinical Commissioning Group which is particularly important in light of the importance for Adult Social Care of the Better Care Together Fund.	pulls in different and potentially conflicting directions. - Places a strain on resources and services to manage. - Partners are present round the table but are not collectively owning the agenda or taking on board the responsibilities and actions that arise therefore undermining the approach - Public health and wellbeing may be impacted or the quality of the service delivered to the Public is insufficient, which could cause harm.	<ul> <li>The Council/ Police have a Community Gold meeting which meets approx. once a month and includes Local Policing Unit commanders, the Basic Command Unit commander and council officers from Leicester Anti-Social Behaviour Unit, youth services, community services. This tracks and agrees joint actions to address any known tensions in communities. This is supported by a shared system between front line officers from the police and the council to track community tension. Community joint management group now in place which creates a regular conduit for engagement with community leaders.</li> <li>LLEP Review has been finalised which has strengthened governance and management of the Leicester, Leicestershire Enterprise Partnership and links with Further Education/Higher Education/ VCS and business sectors.</li> </ul>										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISH COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG COF WITH	RE H	cos T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
3. BUSINESS/SERVICE CONTINUITY MANAGEMENT Unforeseen unpredictable events such as flood, power/utility failure etc. could impact on the council's assets, communication channels or resources etc.	<ul> <li>management leads to disorder</li> <li>in the rapid restoration of</li> <li>business critical activities and</li> <li>the control of the emergency</li> <li>plan.</li> <li>The emerging risk environment</li> <li>increasingly makes 'resilience' a</li> <li>significant focus for all</li> <li>organisations.</li> <li>Budget cuts and rationalisation</li> <li>may also challenge the ability of</li> <li>Category 1 responders (which</li> <li>LCC are) to fulfil their statutory</li> <li>duty.</li> <li>Resource restraints means</li> <li>that there is limited staff to</li> <li>perform manual operations at</li> <li>the volume required in an</li> <li>event/incident.</li> <li>Council is unable to</li> <li>communicate to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All the Senior Management Team have roles in either the Corporate Business Continuity Management Team (CBCT) or are Emergency Controllers.</li> <li>-Head of Internal Audit and Risk Management Chairs the Multi- Agency Business Continuity Group</li> <li>-CBCT have formal refresher meetings three times a year</li> <li>- Training offered corporately</li> <li>- Directors involvement in CBCT Meetings held 3 times a year.</li> <li>- Risk Management and Insurance Services/Emergency Management Team provide updates and lessons learnt on incidents to CBCT/Audit &amp; Risk Committee as appropriate</li> <li>- Self cert annually by Directors</li> <li>- Corporate Business Continuity Plan (BCP) which is reviewed annually but also updated as and when changes occur which should be reflected in the plan</li> <li>- Business Continuity Secure Site (web based) holds BCP and all Business Critical Activities BCPs and is securely accessed by members of the CBCT - Communications on-call arrangements working more effectively and recent training run for all staff involved</li> </ul>	4	3		<ul> <li>Further embedding of business continuity management approach.</li> <li>Further completion of Business Continuity tests.</li> <li>Completion of all Service Business Continuity Plans.</li> <li>Further communication/training and awareness for staff on continuity arrangements.</li> <li>Annual review of Critical Service Business Continuity Plans initiated by Risk Management and Insurance Services</li> </ul>	4	2	8		Alison Greenhill/Miran da Cannon	30/6/2016 and On- going

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISH COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG COR WITH RTH	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
4. INFORMATION GOVERNANCE Information Governance/Security/ Data Protection policies/procedures/ protocols are not followed by staff and members.	<ul> <li>Major loss of public confidence in the organisation.</li> <li>Potential litigation and financial loss to the Council.</li> <li>Reputational damage to the Council.</li> <li>With data held in a vast array of places and being transferred between supply chain partners, data becomes susceptible to loss; protection and privacy risks.</li> <li>Reduction in the capacity/capability to retain such data. This could also be costly.</li> <li>Excessive retention of data can still be requested through a Freedom of Information Act if retained.</li> <li>Council may not share data with the appropriate individuals/bodies accurately, securely and in a timely manner.</li> <li>Council fails to adequately secure/protect confidential and sensitive data held.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear policies and protocols in place.</li> <li>Staff have been trained and made aware of the Council's policies and procedures.</li> <li>Secure storage solutions are now in place.</li> <li>Paper retention has been reduced through the introduction of scanning etc.</li> <li>Programme underway to reinforce to staff the need to manage email data and storage appropriately</li> <li>Manadatory e-learning module for staff</li> </ul>	4	3		<ul> <li>Clear and on-going communications to staff to reinforce policies and protocols.</li> <li>Regular review and monitoring of arrangements across services by Service Managers supported by Information Security/Governance Teams.</li> <li>Ensure that the policy in place around the management of electronic data and disposal of data is in the awareness of staff</li> <li>Ongoing review and updating of appropriate information sharing agreements.</li> </ul>	4	2	8		Andy Keeling	30/09/2016 and On- going

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could ac wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISI COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOF WIT JRTH	RE H	cos T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
5. BREACHES IN COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATION, POLICIES, PROCEDURES HEALTH AND SAFETY ETC Local management use discretion to apply inconsistent processes and misinterpret Corporate policies & procedures, perpetuating varying standards across business units. The City Council fails to respond effectively to the requirements of Health and Safety Executive/Government proposals and/or legislation which places health and safety responsibilities on local authorities.	Potential financial losses / inefficient use of resources. - Possibility of serious injury or death of member of staff or service user/members of the public. - Failure to meet statutory responsibilities. - Reputational damage to the Council. - Negative stakeholder relationships - Potential for increase in the number of insurance claims	<ul> <li>Regular reporting from Internal Audit to Strategic Management Board. Approach to the annual corporate governance review revised and a more effective process established.</li> <li>Day to day management of Health and Safety responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service. Corporate Health and Safety team available to assist.</li> <li>Risk is reported and controlled through Divisional Directors Operational Risk Registers (presented to the CMT each quarter) and these are underpinned by registers at Heads of Service level reviewed and discussed at Divisional Management Teams quarterly.</li> <li>Regular inspections and reports by the Health and Safety team with all actions being followed up within a reasonable time.</li> <li>A process of more regular reporting to Corporate Management Team on health and safety matters is underway</li> <li>Significant change to the absence management policy and procedure rolled out</li> </ul>	4	3		<ul> <li>Continue to review and reinforce key standards and policies via regular communication.</li> <li>Ensure Managers are appropriately trained and requirements are clearly set out in Job Descriptions and reinforced via appraisals.</li> <li>Ensure Internal Audit findings are acted on in a timely manner.</li> <li>Strategic monitoring and reporting in relation to Health &amp; Safety being reviewed to raise profile and ensure responsibilities are reinforced from the top.</li> <li>Consider the creation of a policy schedule to maintain an overview of all Council policies.</li> <li>New Head of HR when appointed to take a fundamental look at sickness absence management including the policy and procedure</li> </ul>	4	2	8		Kamal Adatia / Miranda Cannon	30/6/2016 and On- going

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could co wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISH COR WITH (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
6. SAFEGUARDING Weak Management oversight of safeguarding processes in place leads to the Council failing to adequately safeguard vulnerable groups e.g. children and young people, elderly, those with physical and learning disabilities.	<ul> <li>-Reputational damage to the Council.</li> <li>- Citizens lose confidence in the Council.</li> <li>- Negatively impacts on relationships with stakeholders.</li> <li>- Impacts severely on staff morale</li> <li>- Leads to high turnover of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Safeguarding Adults and Children's Boards in place.</li> <li>Regular reviews of policies/procedures and close supervision of staff.</li> <li>Range of quality assurance processes exist within the Divisions.</li> <li>Range of developments, including corporate training, exist within the Divisions to manage, support recruit and retain staff.</li> <li>Improvement Board established following the Ofsted inspection and other arrangements eg Performance Board set up</li> <li>-24/7 Duty and Advice Service in place (and identified as a strength by OFSTED).</li> </ul>	5	3		<ul> <li>Board performance and framework development.</li> <li>Chair of Board has direct accountability through Chief Operating Officer.</li> <li>Regular bi-annual meetings with Mayor and Adults and Children's Lead Members.</li> <li>Full implementation of all necessary improvements identified via the Ofsted inspection of Children's Services</li> <li>Review of assessments and plans following OFSTED to ensure all are 'good enough quality', to include training of staff as appropriate.</li> <li>Social work electronic recording system will be developed.</li> </ul>	5	2	10		Frances Craven/Steven Forbes	30/09/2016 and On- going

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could co wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISH COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOI WIT JRTH	RE H	cos T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
	Poor OFSTED outcome for schools Increased risk of schools going into category of special measures Poor outcome for Local Authority if inspected under the OFSTED framework for LA SChool Improvement effectiveness	Revised desk top analysis to identify potential underperformance in idividual schools and settings Revised School Improvement Framework Regular reporting to DMT and LMB on schools causing concern and targeted work Self evaluation against OFSTED framework for inspection completed At risk schools discussed and warning notices considered Inspection file being collated to evidence effective and good practice in targetted work with schools	4	4		Targeted visits by Director of Learning Revised support packages Single plan implementation for RI schools Local Authority Reviews of individual schools to be negotiated Preparation for inspection to include briefing to all schools	4	2	8		Frances Craven/Steven Forbes	30/09/2016 and On- going

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wropg? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISH COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOF WITI IRTH	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
8. CIVIL CONTINGENCY RESPONSE/INCIDENT RESPONSE Council resources may not be adequate or sufficient to respond should an external incident/disaster occur (for example, the impact of climate change leading to floods placing responsibility to the Council to house evacuees from other counties/areas) .	snow fall etc.) building the right infrastructure and new statutory flood and water risk management duties. - Having sufficient financial resources and flexibility to address these challenges becomes increasingly difficult. - Having sufficient assets/contingency arrangements. -Lack of resources could lead to inadequate response . - Impact on the publics health and wellbeing, safety/housing needs etc. - Adverse	<ul> <li>Corporate Management of this is outlined in the carbon action plan which covers all areas of management activity across the Council and its partners to reduce carbon.</li> <li>Implementation is monitored through a carbon management board. Day to day management of climate change responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service.</li> <li>Risk is reported and controlled through the Divisional Directors Operational Risk Registers (presented to Corporate Management Team each quarter) and these are underpinned through regular reviews as part of the revised Eco-Management Audit Scheme (EMAS) system.</li> <li>Local Resilience Forum (LRF) county wide partnering arrangement.</li> <li>Leicester City Council (LCC) is part of the Resilience Partnership of local authorities in LLR LLR Health Protection Committee coordinates health protection response across LA/PHE/NHS</li> </ul>	4	3		<ul> <li>Public engagement and city wide flood defence programmes are being developed jointly with the Environment Agency. This provides a two -pronged approach to manage the risk of severe flooding arising from climate change.</li> <li>LRF and Resilience Partnership arrangements continue to be reviewed.</li> <li>Robust schedule of plan reviews and training in place and agreed via the LRF LLR- wide Health Protection Committee arrangements under review to provide assurance around management of health protection risks/ incidents and outbreaks</li> <li>Exercise being planned for 2016 to test SCG/TCG arrangements using City Hall as the control centre</li> </ul>	4	2	8		Miranda Cannon / Alison Greenhill/ Ruth Tennant	30/6/2016 and ongoing

### Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could an wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISI COF WITI (ISTI	RE	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCO WIT IRTI	RE	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
8. CIVIL CONTINGENCY RESPONSE/INCIDENT RESPONSE (Continued)	- City Council fails to respond effectively to the requirements of Government proposals and/or	City Council major incident plan reviewed and signed off. -New emergency control room now fully equipped and operational at City Hall and provides a facility for both local management of emergencies and use by the LRF as a SCG venue										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RIS SCOI WIT (IST	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH	RE H	COS T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
9. RESOURCE: CAPACITY, CAPABILITY, RETENTION & DEVELOPMENT Lack of workforce planning and appropriate development of managers and employees leaves the Council exposed to service failure. The Council does not have the capacity/resilience in resources, should an event/incident occur, may significantly increase the demand on front line services. Changing market conditions gives rise to the council not being seen as first choice for employment as private sector may be perceived as offering better reward.	right skills, behaviours and competencies in terms of the workforce to deliver the city's vision and priorities. - The Council fails to maximise the potential of its key resource. - Staff become demotivated/are under pressure which has an impact on productivity and delivery across the Council. - Disruption to service delivery. - Impacts on continuity of services. Creates risks in delivery because information on processes/procedures etc is lost - Service demands may not be met. - Reputational damage. - Financial impacts. - Drain on resources	<ul> <li>Talent match (internal jobs market) rolled out across the Council, learning captured and acted on from initial pilot period and pilot will continue for a full 12 months - OD Team working to develop their role and remit and engagement with the organisation - Organisational vision and values continued roll out</li> <li>Active programme of work to support young people into employment and to utilise graduates, apprenticeships, work placements etc across the Council - TSI Team actively supporting a range of areas around business change, process re- engineering etc and supporting skills transfer in the process - Recruitment and retention being linked more closely with wider place marketing</li> </ul>	4	3	12	<ul> <li>Continue to develop the Council's OD and TSI approaches and embed these teams</li> <li>Consider retention mechanisms and succession planning.</li> <li>Continue the embedding of the vision and values across the organisation</li> <li>Appoint a new Head of HR and develop a new Strategic HR work-plan.</li> <li>Act on lessons from initial Talent Match pilot and continue to run and evaluate the pilot.</li> </ul>	3	3	9		Miranda Cannon	30/06/16 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could an wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISI COF WITI (ISTI	RE H	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	S	ARG SCOF WITI JRTH	RE H	cos T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
9. RESOURCE: CAPACITY, CAPABILITY, RETENTION & DEVELOPMENT (Continued)	<ul> <li>Potential reduction in controls being exercised and as a result, the business control environment is reduced.</li> <li>Potential exposure for fraud/irregularity.</li> <li>Impact on the Health and Wellbeing of the City.</li> <li>Council loses knowledge, experience and skills</li> <li>Posts not filled with the right skills set/qualification/experience</li> <li>changing market conditions may result in the Council being unable to recruit to specific posts or attract candidates of the right skill mix</li> </ul>											

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could as wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?		RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING		FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS		TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER		SCORE WITH		cos T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk					
10. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT & PROCUREMENT Contract management protocols/procedures are not robust and there is lack of understanding/ awareness within the Council. Service areas may exercise partnership arrangements/ collaborative agreements where formalised/legal contracts are not in place and possibly these may not be legally binding.	<ul> <li>Reputational damage.</li> <li>Financial impacts; valuable funding is used for rectification of issues.</li> <li>Increase in staff resources to defend a challenge.</li> <li>Potential for litigation and fines being incurred.</li> <li>Contract service level agreements may not be adhered too.</li> <li>The Council does not receive value for money for the services it procures.</li> <li>The Council is challenged in the reduction of contracts when re-tendered.</li> <li>Discouraged providers may not tender for the contract in the future, potentially reducing the portfolio of providers and even reducing the availability of high quality providers.</li> </ul>	-Revised and improved Contract Procedure Rules now in place along with associated guidance. -Policy that all procurement over a deminimis threshold must be carried out by one of the specialist procurement teams. -Professional procurement staff recruited and now in post -Contract Risk Management training available from RMIS -Engagement with local supplier groups	3	3	9	-Development of new procurement template documentation -Implementation of new electronic tendering system -Professional training for procurement staff (MCIPS) -Training in procurement and contract management for staff across the Council -Enhanced engagement with local business to widen portfolio of potential suppliers -Development of communications plan to ensure all staff are informed of above as appropriate to their role.	3	3	9		Alison Greenhill	30/09/16		

### Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could an wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?	S	RISI COF WITI (ISTI	RE	FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	SCC WI		ARGET SCORE WITH JRTHER		RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
10. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT & PROCUREMENT (Continued).	<ul> <li>Council pay higher fees for services contracted or are unable to exit contracts when service delivery is not inline with the expected quality/contractual requirements.</li> <li>the Council may not procure goods and services from sustainable providers.</li> </ul>											

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what could co wrong? What	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS What are you doing to manage this risk now?		RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING		FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS		ARGET CORE WITH IRTHER		COS T	OS RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
11. ASSET MANAGEMENT Absence of an asset management strategy will affect the future conditions/status of buildings.	to Leicester. - The council's assets may fall into disrepair losing income and increasing maintenance costs.	<ul> <li>-A single corporate asset management system is now in place.</li> <li>-Annual Planned Maintenance Programme is in place to cover the most urgent health and safety issues in the estate.</li> <li>-Central Maintenance Fund is available to address urgent repair items in the estate.</li> <li>-Phases one and two of the central accommodation strategy have been effectively implemented which has significantly reduced the backlog maintenance issues in the estate.</li> <li>-Transforming Neighbourhood Services review in place to reduce the level of backlog maintenance issues in the neighbourhood estate.</li> <li>Building Schools for the Future (BSF) and Primary programmes are proceeding on course with a new Hard Facilities Management Offer for BSF Phase 3-6 using local contractors being concluded.</li> <li>-Condition surveys have now been completed for all neighbourhood and leisure assets</li> <li>-Using Buildings Better (UBB) programme running with a focus on rationalising operational assets and improving as appropriate the condition of retained assets, as well as disposal of assets for economic and/or other benefits. The programme encompasses the existing TNS project and accommodation strategy programme, plus workstreams on depots, stores and workshops, Early Help (CYP&amp;F centres primarily), channel shift and surplus assets. It has a strategic focus on assets to be retained and those to be disposed of.</li> </ul>	5	4	20	-Establishment of a corporate asset management group. - Continued development of effective planned maintenance programme - performance measurement in place to proivde assurance regarding compliance- concerto being established and populated to work as the single corporate asset management system - Continue delivery of the UBB programme	5	3	15		Frank Jordan/Miranda Canon	30/06/16

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	esult, ould it		RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING		FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS		ACTIONS/CONTROLS		ACTIONS/CONTROLS				SCOF WIT		TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER		SCORE WITH		SCORE WITH		SCORE WITH		cos T	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk																	
12. NATIONAL AGENDA/CHANGES IN LEGISLATION/ GOVERNMENT ETC On-going changes in government, legislation etc. gives rise to new demands and responsibilities with insufficient time for implementation and insufficient budget.	<ul> <li>Reputational damage.</li> <li>The budget may not be</li> </ul>	Directors keep abreast of policy change and development in their portfolios. The implications of change described and discussed. Including political briefings if required. Budgeting takes account of national changes. Staff are trained in new requirements.	4	3		Examine options for service integration; improved leadership development; manage demand better; have honest conversations with the public about what can be expected from us; improve commissioning activity across the Council.	3	2	6		Andy Keeling	30/09/16														

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the problem; what is the cause; what	What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS		RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING		FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS		TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER		cos T	OS <sub>RISK</sub> OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
13. CHANNEL SHIFT The Council may be unsuccessful in channel shifting customers to less resource intensive forms of contact than face to face or telephone contact. The infrastructure may not be in place to enable the shift and the culture change is not enabled among staff and customers to support it.	<ul> <li>Service delivery not met.</li> <li>Adverse affect on budget.</li> <li>Reputational damage.</li> <li>Impact on resource provision.</li> <li>Process and improvements do not materialise.</li> <li>Lack of access to data.</li> <li>Customer access channels may not be improved.</li> <li>Services will become unaffordable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-A Channel Shift Strategy has been developed and has been communicated to senior managers and Executive. An underpinning programme of work is being shaped and delivered.</li> <li>-The Transforming Neighbourhood Services programme has supported development of a digital hub approach which will continue throught the UBB programme</li> <li>New corporate website launched in March 2015 and is helping drive increased on-line transactions</li> <li>Major redevelopment of Visit Leicester website underway</li> <li>Channel Shift Board in place to drive the development and delivery of the Channel Shift Strategy. Board also has a role to review communications put out by services to ensure they promote the right messages regarding channel shift.</li> <li>Continued strategic focus on the use and role of digital media in the organisation</li> <li>Ongoing audit of printed publications which is helping identify issues related to channel shift and quality of communications]</li> </ul>	4	3	12	Continue to develop an implementation programme for the Channel Shift Strategy - Review the first 12 months operation of the new corporate website in light of the channel shift agenda - All services to continue to review their comms to ensure that online options are promoted ahead of traditional access channels. - Continue to drive forward channel shift through the UBB programme - A communications plan to support channel shift among staff and customers to be developed. - Continue the Visit Leicester website redevelopment to include transactional capability eg multi-venue ticket purchasing - Continue the printed publications audit and then share lessons learnt	3	3	9		Andy Keeling/ Alison Greenhill/ Frank Jordan/ Miranda Cannon	30/06/16